bsb

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1486 OF 2006

Maharashtra Suraksha Rakshak Aghadi ... Petitioner

V/s

The Security Guards Board for Greater Bombay & ors. ... Respondents

Mr. Susheel Mahadeshwar i/by Ms. Ranjana Todankar for the petitioner.

Ms. L.S. Desai i/by M.S. Pallavi Divekar for the respondent No.1.

Mr. C.U. Singh alongwith Mr. P.B. Anand for the respondent No.2.

CORAM: R.M. LODHA &
SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, JJ.

DATED: 9TH JANUARY, 2007.

P.C.:

Heard the counsel for the petitioner, counsel for the respondent No.1 and senior counsel for the respondent No.2.

2. The senior counsel for the respondent No.2 submitted that the contract that respondent No.2 had with the agency (respondent No.3) has expired and that the exemption period of respondent No.3 vide notification

dated 25.8.2003 has also expired. The counsel for the respondent No.1 - Board did not dispute these two facts. In this view of the matter, we find no justification in issuing direction to respondent No.2 to apply for registration of security guards listed at Exh.A.

3. The counsel for the petitioner submitted that security guards listed at Exh.A have already applied with the respondent No.1 - Board for registration and that their applications have yet not been disposed of by the Board.

4. The counsel for the respondent No.1 states that within four weeks from today, the applications made by the security guards listed at Exh.A shall be considered and their names shall be registered. We accept her statement. No further order needs to be passed in the writ petition. It is disposed of accordingly.

(R.M. LODHA, J.)

(SMT. NISHITA MHATRE, J.)